

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/579,692	Applicant(s) LENZ, HELMUT	
	Examiner Tiffany A. Fetzner	Art Unit 2859	

All Participants:

(1) Tiffany A. Fetzner.

(2) Sid Bennett Reg. No. 53,981.

Status of Application: RCE filed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2008

Time: 3pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

13, 20, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Stanley US patent 6,683,494 B2.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted applicant's representative in order to request permission to make an examiner's amendment to each of applicant's independent claims to further clarify the main feature of novelty of applicant's invented amplifier and the area in which the amplifier is used in order to verify the uniqueness of applicant's amplifier from all of the other known prior art amplifiers. The examiner was given permission to make the examiner's amendment and was thanked for her time and assistance in moving the instant application forward towards allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

